

GREENVILLE WATER AUTHORITY
REGULAR MEETING OF THE
BOARD OF DIRECTORS
WEDNESDAY NOVEMBER 10, 2010 5:30 P.M.

Member's present Gary Beatty, President
 Marion Rosselot, Secretary
 John Gibson, Ass't Sec. Treasurer
 Tom Fisher, Treasurer
 Tom Thompson, Gannett Fleming

Employee's Present: William Brady, Superintendent
 Maria Kerekes, Office Manager

Guests: Brian Shipley, Council President
 Anthony D'Alfonso Jr. Councilor
 Don Shaw

Press: Caleb Stright – Record Argus
 Monica Pryts, Sharon Herald

Meeting called to order by Mr. Beatty. Minutes of the October 13, 2010 meeting were reviewed. It was noted that Mr. Fisher's title was listed incorrectly in the minutes. Motion by Mr. Fisher to accept the minutes with the noted correction, seconded by Mr. Gibson. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Mr. Fisher gave the Treasurer's report. He reported the Authority currently has an unrestricted fund balance of \$428,347.13 less committed funds of \$278,000.00 leaving a balance of available funds of \$150,347.13.

Motion by Mrs. Rosselot to accept the Treasurer's report, seconded by Mr. Gibson. Motion carried by unanimous vote. Mr. Brady informed the board the Authority has received the \$58,149.58 reimbursement from Pennvest that was paid out of the Authority general fund to Tank Connection. This payment receipt is not reflected in the available fund balance of \$150,347.13.

Engineer's report was presented by Mr. Thompson. He reported the tank project is complete and that a final walk through was done. A final punch list is attached to the Engineer's report listing things that need to be completed. He presented Tank Connection's pay application in the amount of \$33,140.52 and recommended the Authority pay the request. He stated we would still be withholding \$5,000.00 from tank connection to address the punch list items. Mr. Gibson questioned if the tank was in operation yet. Mr. Thompson explained DEP needed to do the final inspection and issue an operations permit before we can put the tank in operation. DEP has been notified to do the final inspection which could take from a few days to a couple of weeks. After discussion motion by Mr. Gibson to make the 7th pay request of \$33,140.52 contingent upon DEP approval and we have received the operational permit, seconded by Mr. Fisher. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Motion by Mr. Fisher to approve the final substantial certificate, seconded by Mr. Gibson. The Substantial Completion Certificate enables us to set the date that the tank is operational from the contract perspective and to reduce the retainage to cover completing the punch list items.

The Distribution system improvements were discussed. Pennvest approved the loan for \$4,501,000 at 1% interest rate. Mr. Thompson explained the Authority has several months before we need to act upon the loan. Mr. Beatty stated however we need to decide quickly on what area need to be focused on and how much of the money that we borrow for the improvements, since there was no grant money. It was decided that a special meeting will be held for this discussion after meeting with Borough officials to find out what their requirements were for repaving the various streets in the Borough. Mr. Beatty stated that he and Mr. Gibson would represent the Authority at this meeting. Mr. Brady stated he would be meeting with the Borough Manager on Thursday, November 11, 2010 and would find out when a date would be available for a meeting.

Mr. Brady gave the Superintendent's report. He reported the dump truck's engine blew up and we are now in the process of pricing which would be the most feasible – to repair the old truck or purchase a new one. One is being currently rented for \$100.00 per week.

Mr. Brady also thanked all the employees for doing such a good job while he's been off on medical leave.

Mr. Gibson questioned the 2" line that was planned for Bentley Ave. He stated he had been questioned regarding the amount of pressure that would be available for the residence at the end of the service line. Mr. Thompson stated that at times the residences may experience a drop in pressure if all used the water at one time, but other than that should not have any substantial pressure loss. It was also pointed out the customer that felt this pressure would not be adequate would not even be one of the ones affected by any pressure loss. . Extensive discussion revolved around the feasibility of a 3" line, a 4" line and a 6" line. Pros and cons of all sizes were discussed. Many issues are associated with each size of line discussed, including available line, available equipment for that size of line, how the line would be installed to cause the least amount of damage to homeowner property and cost associated with each size. It was recognized that ideally, a final solution would be the best to implement, but would also take the longest time and cost the most.

After much discussion it was agreed upon the project would move forward as scheduled with the 2" line. . Mrs. Rosselot reminded everyone this is meant to be a temporary fix anyway and that clearing up the water for the whole area took precedence over 1 or 2 customers upon speculation may lose pressure.

Motion by Mr. Fisher to adjourn the meeting, seconded by Mrs. Rosselot. Motion carried by unanimous vote.

Meeting adjourned 7:00 p.m.

Marion Rosselot
Secretary